Attorney's Docket No.: 12361-014002

## In the Claims:

Please further amend the previously-amended Claims 58, 66, 75, and 79 as follows:

Cy,

58. (Newly Amended) The method as in claim 57, wherein lengths of two different adjacent birefringent segments are different by a factor of  $2^{2^n}$ , where n is a positive integer factor.

81

05

66. (Twice Amended) The device as in claim 65, wherein lengths of two different adjacent birefringent segments are different by a factor of 2<sup>M n</sup>, where M and n are positive integers representing higher and lower order numbers of said two different birefringent segments, respectively, with 1s n s (M-1), and M22.

於

06

75. (Twice Amended) The method as in claim 72, wherein lengths of two different adjacent birefringent segments are different by a factor of 2 2<sup>M-n</sup>, where M and n are positive integers representing higher and lower order numbers of said two different birefringent segments, respectively, with 14 n 4 (M-1), and M22.

Attorney's Docket No.: 12361-014002

79. (Twice Amended) The method as in claim 78, wherein lengths of two different adjacent birefringent segments are different by a factor of 2 2<sup>N-n</sup>, where M and n are positive integers representinghigher and lower order numbers of said two different birefringent segments, respectively, with 1s n s (M-1), and Ma2.